

NEWS AND VIEWS

OPINION

Artefacts, biology and bias in museum collection research

PRISCILLA M. WEHI*, HEMI WHAANGA† and STEVE A. TREWICK*

*Department of Zoology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand; †Department of Anthropology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Museum collections are increasingly subjected to scientific scrutiny, including molecular, isotopic and trace-element analyses. Recent advances have extended analyses from natural history specimens to historical artefacts. We highlight three areas of concern that can influence interpretation of data derived from museum collections: sampling issues associated with museum collection use, methods of analysis, and the value of cross-referencing data with historical documents and data sets. We use a case study that focuses on kiwi (*Apteryx* spp.) feather samples from valuable 19th century Māori cloaks in New Zealand to show how sampling and analysis challenges need to be minimized by careful design. We argue that aligning historical records with scientific data generated from museum collections significantly improves data interpretation.

Key words: experimental design, haplotype frequency, kahukiwi, kiwi, Maori, sampling error, stable isotope, weaving

Museum collections are reservoirs of past and present biodiversity (Brooke 2000; Guralnick & Cleve 2005; Lister 2011). Modern genetic methods are increasingly applied to museum specimens, providing for example, insight into phylogenetic placement of recently extinct species (Cooper 2001; Shapiro 2002), DNA sexing that clarifies taxonomy (moa; Bunce 2003), composition of population samples (moorhen, Lee & Griffiths 2003), adaptive allele frequencies (blowfly, Newcomb 2005) and specimen provenance (penguin, Boessenkool 2010). Isotope and trace-element analysis of teeth,

feathers and other tissues has similarly been applied to museum specimens to elucidate ontogenetic movements and dietary shifts (e.g. sperm whales, Mendes 2007; seabirds, Norris 2007), migratory patterns (Hobson 2010), palaeoenvironmental change (Newsome 2010; Uno 2011) and responses to environmental change such as the transport of contaminants (Horton 2010).

making itself. A primary conclusion was that the eastern North Island was the most prolific of cloak-making areas in 19th century New Zealand. Is this a valid inference from the data, and more importantly is this a meaningful interpretation of the history and traditions of cloak weaving? It is unclear at the outset whether the authors wish to infer weaving locations using kiwi DNA from feathers in the cloaks (which implies that feathers were collected from kiwi and woven at the same location) or to propose an alternative hypothesis of regional trading and exchange of materials or themselves, as described in oral history (in which case feathers cannot be used as indicators of weaving location). The assumption that the biological materials used to create an artefact also reflect the production location might be wrong. A carefully designed sampling strategy is essential to uncover historical weaving locations.

Sampling bias in museum collections

Any circumstance that causes a sample to be unrepresentative of the underlying population cannot provide a reliable basis for hypothesis testing. Typically, some form of randomized sampling is used (Southwood 1976) but there are several reasons why museum collections can fail in this respect. The composition of museum collections is dictated by collecting strategies that have often been, in the past at least, opportunistic and nonrepresentative (Pyke & Ehrlich 2010), based on the voracity of collectors with diverse personal objectives. Even current acquisition strategies are frequently not systematic if, for example, '... acquisitions are made to maintain and improve... [the]... cultural and historical record of the world's cultures and civilizations, and generate public interest in the past and present' (British Museum Policy on Acquisitions 2011).

Many researchers recognize that museum collections suffer from the limitations of presence-only data, but do not recognize that understanding the reasons for data absence is critical. Absence of an artefact might reflect a true historical absence at a particular location, imperfect detection, failure to collect in that area (collector bias) or subsequent loss/damage of specimens. Imperfect detection occurs where objects or species are present but not detected by observers, for example where species are rare or cryptic, or sacred artefacts are not revealed or sold to collectors. More importantly, collections of natural history specimens and artefacts such as reflect the nonrandom interests, geographic movements and history of individual collectors

thus frequently asset-5374.1(-4659((collecp62.8(p81(-47t)-415.3(area)-408.9((coluD[(importantly,-)5movier.08.9(posng.)-(prestyp5.7(c9)

Museum specimens and artefacts are typically far removed from their geographical and cultural context. Nevertheless, publicly available historical records can usefully verify known details including provenance, and reveal other details. We searched the online New Zealand National Library Collection of English language newspapers, 'Papers Past' (<http://paperspast.natlib.govt.nz/cgi->

organophosphorus insecticide resistance have been selected at the Rop-1 locus of the sheep blowfly, *Phormia regina*. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **60**, 207–220.

Newsome SD, Collins PW, Rick TC, Guthrie DA, Erlandson JM, Fogel ML (2010) Pleistocene to historic shifts in bald eagle diets on the Channel Islands, California. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **107**, 9246–9251.

Norris DR, Arcese P, Preikshot D, Bertram DF, Kyser TK (2007) Diet reconstruction and historic population dynamics in a threatened seabird. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **44**, 875–884.

Payne RB, Sorenson MD (2003) Museum collections as sources of genetic data. *Conservation Genetics*, **51**, 97–104.

Pendergrast M (1987) *Phormia regina*. Reed, Auckland.

Pyke GH, Ehrlich PR (2010) Biological collections and ecological/environmental research: a review, some observations and a look to the future. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **85**, 247–266.

Robertson HA (2003) Kiwi recovery plan, 1996–2006. Department of Conservation, Wellington.

Rogers KM, Wassenaar LI, Soto DX, Bartle JA (in press) A feather-precipitation model for New Zealand: implications for ecoforensics.

Ross JD, Arndt AD, Smith RFC, Johnson JA, Bouzat JL (2006) Re-examination of the historical range of the greater prairie chicken using provenance data and DNA analysis of museum collections. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **7**, 735–750.

Shapiro B, Sibthorpe D, Rambaut A (2002) Flight of the Dodo. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **295**, 1683.

Southwood T (1976) *Phormia regina*. Chapman and Hall, London.

Staats M, Cuenca A, Richardson JE (2011) DNA damage in plant herbarium tissue. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **6**, e28448.

Stumpe L (2005) Restitution or repatriation? The story of some New Zealand Māori human remains. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **17**, 130–140.

Taborsky B, Taborsky M (1999) The mating system and stability of pairs in kiwi *Sp. n.* *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **30**, 143–151.

Tamarapa A (2011) The cloaks of Te Papa. In: *Te Papa Press* (ed Tamarapa A), pp. 95–175. Te Papa Press, Wellington.

Uno KT, Cerling TE, Harris JM (2011) Late Miocene to Pliocene carbon isotope record of differential diet change among East African herbivores. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **108**, 6509–6514.

Vo A-TE, Bank MS, Shine JP, Edwards SV (2011) Temporal increase in organic mercury in an endangered pelagic seabird

assessed by century-old museum specimen. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **108**, 7466–7471.

Wehi P (2006) Harakeke (*Deschampsia antarctica*) ecology and historical management by Māori: the changing landscape in New Zealand. PhD thesis, University of Waikato, New Zealand.

Wehi P (2009) Indigenous ancestral sayings contribute to modern conservation partnerships: examples using *Phormia regina*. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **19**, 267–275.

P.M.W. is a postdoctoral fellow using stable isotope analysis to answer ecological questions. She currently works on endemic New Zealand crickets (tree weta), but also has an active interest in conservation biology and TEK of indigenous peoples. H.W. is a linguist interested in discourse analysis, ethics and the digitization of indigenous knowledge. S.A.T. addresses questions in evolutionary ecology and genetics, using New Zealand invertebrates and birds, with his group at Massey University <http://evolves.massey.ac.nz/>. His research questions are generally centred on the evolution of species.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Appendi S1. Contextualising museum collection development in New Zealand: The importance of provenance and historical information.

Table S1 Accession numbers used in this research (based on accession numbers from previous molecular kiwi data (Hartnup 2011)), along with accompanying records from the museums (including provenance where known).

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be